

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/509,725                                | 03/29/2000      | Seok-Keun Koh        | 0630-2009PUSI       | 9859            |
| 2292                                      | 7590 09/21/2004 |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
|   | WART KOLASCH &  | MAYEKAR, KISHOR      |                     |                 |
| PO BOX 747<br>FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 |                 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                 |
|   |                 |                      | 1753                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | $\sim 0$  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 09/509,725   | KOH ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Kishor Mayekar   | 1753  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  | VIC SET TO EVDIDE AMONTHI  | C) EDOM   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE. | nely filed<br>s will be considered timely.<br>the mailing date of this communication.<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2004.   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | ,  |   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 53 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-19,22 and 30-32 is  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-19,22 and 30-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 20, 21 and 23-29</u> is/are rejected.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
| <b>A</b> 44 <b>. .</b> 44 <b>.</b>  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) 🗖 Imton :: 0  | (DTO 442)   |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4) ☐ Interview Summary (<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da  | (P10-413)<br>te   |  |  |  |  |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  | atent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 20, 21, 23 and 25-28 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over HAQUE et al. (4,598,022) in view of Applicant's admission, for reasons as of record.
- 3. Claim 24 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over HAQUE '022 in view of Applicant's admission as applied to claims 1, 20, 21, 23 and 25-28 above, and further in view of HAQUE et al. (4,588,641), for reasons as of record.
- 4. Claim 29 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over HAQUE '022 in view of Applicant's admission as applied to claims 1, 20, 21, 23 and

Art Unit: 1753

25-28 above, and further in view of KLEEBERG et al. (5,058,290), for reasons as of record.

## Double Patenting

5. Claims 1, 23-25, 28 and 29 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 35-37, 40 and 42 of copending Application No. 09/529,052, for reasons as of record.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 25 May 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's arguments that HAQUE '022 fails to disclose or suggest using an unsaturated aliphatic hydrocarbon monomer gas, HAQUE '022 specifically discloses that acetyl acetone may also be used as the organic species in addition to azole (col. 5, lines 61-64).

In response to Applicant's arguments starting in page 4 of the Remarks from

lines 3-10, the Examiner assets that there is no such statement in the recent last Office action.

In response to Applicant's arguments that HAQUE '022 uses the substrate to be coated in place of one of the electrodes, the rejection stands because the metal substrate/anode is the anode and is directly and electrically connected to a Dc power supply.

In response to Applicant's arguments that HAQUE '022 prefers the use of AC power, since HAQUE '022 discloses any suitable conventional DC source or any suitable conventional AC source can be used, the rejection stands. Further, it has been held that "references are valid for what they convey, explicitly or implicitly, to one skilled in art; that experimentation may not have appeared promising is of no importance; reference may be valid even though it states that its disclosure is not practical", In re Aller 105 USPQ 233.

In response to Applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax

Application/Control Number: 09/509,725

Art Unit: 1753

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 1753